REMARKS

The Board, on page 7 of the decision and in footnote 5, noted specific amendments to claim 1 that would place claim 1 in a form that "would easily pass muster under §101". The Board's specific proposed amendment, which replaces the word "program" in claim 1 with the word "process", is made with this amendment and places claim 1 in condition for allowance according to the Board's decision.

The Board, on page 16 of the decision, asserted a new rejection of claims 2-4 and 7 under §101. The grounds were the same as for the §101 rejection of claim 1. The amendments to claim 1, in addition to amendments to the preambles of claims 2-4 and 7, place these claims in a form that "would easily pass muster under §101" as indicated in the Board's decision on page 7 and in footnote 5.

The Board, on page 16 of the decision, indicated that claims 5 and 6 recite statutory matter because "claims 5 and 6 positively recite that the program is *stored* in a server or computer respectively". The amendments to claims 5 and 6 rewrite these claims in independent form.

For the reasons stated above and in the Board's decision, claims 1-13 are now believed to be allowable. Applicant requests entry of the amendments and allowance of the application.

Respectfully submitted,

GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD.

Bv

Steven P. Fallon

Registration No. 35,132 Attorney for Applicant

September 9, 2008 300 S. Wacker Drive - Suite 2500 Chicago, Illinois 60606-6501 Telephone: (312) 360-0080 Facsimile: (312) 360-9315 Customer No. 24976